

State Of Washington
Department Of Ecology
Shorelands and Environmental Assistance Program

Coastal Zone Management
Section 306, 306A, 309 and 6217 Grant

Federal Fiscal Year 2003
Grant Award No: NA03NOS4190105

Section "A"

Performance Report
For the period July 1, 2003 through December 31, 2003

1st Semi-Annual Report

January 2004

Washington Shorelands & Environmental Assistance Program
FFY 2003 Coastal Zone Management Grant
Sections 306/306A/309/6217

Table of Contents

Section A

Chapter 1 -- Section 306

Task 1.1 Coastal Zone Management	3
Task 1.2 Shoreline Management	5
Task 1.3 Wetlands Management	13
Task 1.4 Floodplain Management	17
Task 1.5 Federal Permitting	18
Task 1.6 Padilla Bay Reserve.....	19

Chapter 2 -- Section 309

Task 2.1 Implementation of Legislative Changes to the Shoreline Management Act..	20
Task 2.2 Update Wetlands Rating Systems.....	20

Chapter 3 -- Section 6217

Task 3.1 Managing Nonpoint Pollution in the Coastal Zone	21
--	----

Section B

Table 1 Shoreline Permits	1
Table 2 Shoreline Hearings Board	2
Table 3 Shoreline Enforcement Actions.....	2
Table 4 Direct Federal Agency Activities-Section 307(C) (1) and (2).....	3
Table 5 Federal Licenses and Permits-Section 307(C)	4
Table 6 Federal Assistance to State and Local Governments	4
Table-7 SEPA Information	5

Attachment 1

Performance Report-Local Government Grants	1
--	---

Chapter 1 -- Section 306/306A

Task 1.1 Coastal Zone Management

Coastal Policy Analysis

During this grant period, we received a request the State House Fisheries, Ecology and Parks Committee to address the issue of underwater noise impacts on marine species. Specifically, the committee wanted to know how the state's coastal program could be used to address this issue. In Spring, 2003, several dolphins washed up dead on the beaches of the San Juan Islands near the area where a navy ship had purportedly been using low frequency sonar. This event generated public interest and concern and eventually led to a House Committee hearing on the issue where Ecology staff presented along with the Navy and federal and state wildlife experts. The Committee is evaluating whether to introduce state legislation to address this concern.

We continue to track the work of the US Commission on Ocean Policy and preparing for our response once the report is released.

Brian Lynn attended the Coastal State Organization meeting in Portsmouth, New Hampshire.

Coastal Zone Management Grant Administration

During this grant period, the Washington State Auditor conducted an audit on our administration of the CZM grants active during state fiscal year 03. The audit was part of a wider audit of several Ecology funding sources. The audit was completed in December and resulted in no formal written findings. Overall, the review was favorable for the program.

Coastal and Estuarine Land Conservation Program (CELCP)

Staff began compiling existing restoration plans and has meet with management regarding development of a state CELP.

Routine Program Changes

Ecology submitted a Routine Program Changes to NOAA on October 17th. The submittal involved Shoreline Master Program updates and were approved by NOAA.

Financial Support

Coastal Zone Managements Grants to locals

(See Attachment 1 page 2-5)

Coastal Planners Meetings

Second Half 2003 Shoreline and Coastal Planners Group Meetings

Two Shoreline and Coastal Planners Group meetings were held as scheduled.

The Summer 2003 Shoreline and Coastal Planners Group meeting was held on July 31st on the topic "Wetlands Acquisition and Restoration for Watershed Recovery: A Tour of the Snohomish River Estuary." For this meeting, Jane Rubey, Wetlands Section, Washington Department of Ecology, was a guest co-convenor. For this field trip meeting we produced a 30-page tour guide for the attendees with summary information, maps, and aerial photographs of the three tour sites. Registration for this meeting was limited to 32 persons because of space limitations of the three vans used for van-pooling between the sites. Of the 30 persons registered, 24 attended.

The Autumn 2003 Coastal Planners Group meeting was held on September 12, 2003. This meeting was on the subject of urban waterfront redevelopment, focusing on Tacoma's Foss Waterway. The morning briefings portion of the meeting was held at the Tacoma Municipal Building; the afternoon was a walking tour of the Foss Waterway. The City of Tacoma, a meeting co-sponsor, provided the meeting space at no cost. Of the 51 persons who registered, 44 (86%) attended. Approximately 30 persons went on the walking tour. As usual, attendees were drawn largely from the ranks of local and state agency shoreline planners; for this meeting we also had a number of persons from public ports attending.

Task 1.2 Shoreline Management

Shoreline Permits

See Table 1 & 2 in Section B

San Juan County: The Millers submitted an application for a boathouse, rail system, pier and elevated boat lift associated with a single family residence in the San Juan Islands. The San Juan County shoreline master program requires a conditional use permit for boat rail systems. The County approved the permit but did not require a joint use agreement as they typically do for pier/ramp/float configurations. Ecology's concern is that this type of boat delivery system will proliferate in high energy areas where traditional pier/ramp/float systems would fail. Ecology required a cumulative affect analysis. Ultimately Ecology approved the permit with modifications to the design and a joint use agreement.

City of Seattle: Shilshole Bay Marina Dock Replacement/Moorage Expansion Project Denial of Shoreline Conditional Use Permit issued by City of Seattle to the Port of Seattle to move a 4800 sq.ft. (2400 sq.ft. footprint) private yacht club clubhouse from one non-conforming over water location in the Port of Seattle's Shilshole Bay Marina to another over water location 300 ft. away. Ecology found the City's decision to be non-compliant with the existing Seattle SMP requirements in the shoreline designation. Both the City and Port of Seattle have appealed the denial to the Washington State Shoreline Hearing Board. This was part of a large group of projects (25+) for a piece meal re-development plan for the Shilshole Bay Marina submitted to resource agencies in three multi-project permits between July and September 2003.

Ecology reluctantly approved three multi-project piece-meal Shoreline Substantial Development permits for Shilshole Bay Marina - Seattle/Port of Seattle-applicant:

The above permit included a Shoreline Substantial Development permit for construction of twenty-one relocated replacement docks, construction of one new dock and a new communications building; relocation of existing clubhouse and fuel float, and reconfiguration of existing parking. The USACE denied this project CZM consistency.

City of Seattle: Shilshole Bay Marina Expansion of the dry boat storage capacity to 46,794 sq. ft., construction of additional fencing, realignment of curbing and driveway curb cuts, reconfiguration of existing parking lots, and reconstruction of landscaping features and sidewalk along Seaview Ave. NW

City of Seattle: Shilshole Bay Marina Demolition of a building, installation of a storage shed and two, 250 gallon oil tanks on a fuel dock

The worst thing about this large group of projects that are occurring at this public marina is that the public review via SEPA was very convoluted and confusing with SEPA's, Draft EIS's, Supplemental Draft EIS's, "Design Refinements", and Final Supplemental EIS's passing each other in the mail to review agencies and public review sites. The overall plan appears to have been to reduce transient moorage, provide fewer total slips, provide more slips for very large vessels, reduce access points to the marina, provide

more dry-dock area and build a bigger over water administration building. Many people seeing the similar titles for the same site believed they had already reviewed the documents.

This public marina is adjacent to Seattle Golden Gardens Park and Beach, which Seattle citizens are trying to restore to a Puget Sound swimming beach with ropes and lifeguard, which was discontinued in the late 1970's.

City of Seattle: Northwest Pipeline Corporation (Subsidiary of Williams Gas Pipeline) Shoreline Substantial Development/Conditional Use permit to replace existing natural gas transmission lines under the White River in Auburn, King County, and the Muckleshoot Indian Reservation. Approved by Ecology - Good example of respectful and comprehensive pre-application planning, review, discussion, listening and consideration. Conditions added reflect protection of natural, cultural and economic resources.

Skagit County: Twin Bridge Marine Park/Bill Youngsman SDP/Variance these permits serve to "legalize" the upland marina constructed in Skagit County by the Youngsmans without a shoreline permit. They appealed our 3 enforcement orders/penalties (totaling \$59,000) to the SHB which unanimously upheld Ecology. The case is now before Skagit County Superior Court, primarily to settle the penalty issue, but the project has at last been appropriately permitted.

City of Medina: Anuradha & Naveen Jain Variance Ecology denied this variance to extend a 100-foot-long pier to 140 feet based on the proposal's lack of consistency with the variance criteria. The City of Medina's SMP was amended in 1996 to limit pier length to 100 feet following the approval of several much longer piers. The Jains have appealed to the SHB (hearing is being scheduled).

City of Sammamish: Lorrie & Marc Garrett Variance the Garretts proposed a Shoreline setback of approx. 14 feet (once the OHWM was correctly established) for a SFR with a 24.5' X 70' footprint (1, 715 sq. ft.). The required setback in the Conservancy environment on the City of Sammamish is 50 feet. Ecology approved the variance but increased the setback to approx. 28 feet and allowed a 14' X 38' (532 sq. ft.) building footprint, appropriate for construction of a recreational cabin at the site. The Garretts appealed to the SHB, saying that many other Lake Sammamish property owners have been allowed to build large homes on equally small lots. Save Lake Sammamish also appealed, saying that we should have denied the entire variance, that the Garretts already have reasonable use of their lot for recreational purposes. The hearing before the SHB is set for mid-April.

Pierce County & City of Tacoma: Tacoma Narrows Bridge while the shoreline permits were issued in the fall of 2000 we continue to have an active, ongoing role in this project. This is the first major Design-Build project staff have worked on and they are learning that resource agencies have to stay engaged all the way through the project. Along with others Ecology attend monthly (it used to be biweekly) meetings to discuss

environmental issues related to design and construction. There have been repeated requests to alter aspects of the project—in part because it wasn't fully designed at the permitting stage, and in part due to unforeseen events that are now requiring significant repair along the shoreline. Both local government planners continue to participate actively as well.

Pacific County & City of Long Beach: Lewis and Clark Discovery Trail All but the Seaview portion of this trail has been constructed. All permits were issued but a handful of property owners in Seaview continue to challenge the right of the City of Long Beach to construct a trail across their property. The City's right to the use of eminent domain to acquire the trail easement was upheld in the Court of Appeals but a new issue has arisen. In the meantime, the City of Long Beach has elected a new mayor and longtime city administrator Nabel Shawa is leaving in March for a new position with the City of Washougal in Clark County.

Pacific County & City of Ilwaco: Cape Disappointment State Park SWRO staff have been participating in the Washington State Parks and Recreation effort to develop a Master Plan for Cape Disappointment State Park (formerly Fort Canby State Park). This plan would cover the next 20 (?) years and is trying to address issues related to the loss of oceanfront campsites due to current and projected erosion at Benson Beach (on the north side of the north jetty on the Columbia River), replacement of lost campsites, potential impacts to dunal wetlands from proposed development, and impacts to marbled murrelet, among other issues.

Compliance and Enforcement

See Table 3 in Section B

Headquarters staff created drafts of expanded desk manuals for both enforcement and permit administration. These will be located on Ecology's Intranet site and contain links to forms, authorities and checklists etc. The purpose of the improved manual is to assist regional staff with enforcement and permit administration in a more expeditious and consistent manner."

NWRO/Graham and Hollingsworth are the presidents of the condominium association and the property manager, respectively. This violation involves filling and grading in the shoreline. Although we got off to a rocky start in trying to resolve the situation, the association has hired a very cooperative attorney and Watershed Co. and is seriously working towards compliance. We (Ecology and the City of Redmond) don't expect to have to issue an order and penalty.

Shoreline Master Program Review

City of Bothell: Apparently, the City wants to incorporate its new Critical Areas Ordinance into its existing SMP to provide better protection for shoreline critical areas. It remains to be seen if the new Critical Areas Ordinance incorporates Best Available Science and is found to be adequate for inclusion in the SMP. This proposed amendment will be one of several that has not been prepared using the new shoreline guidelines but which must be judged by those Guidelines.

City of Coupeville: Coupeville is using a CZM grant to prepare it's first-ever SMP (it previously used Island County's). They are well into the inventory and appear to be on schedule.

City of Darrington: The City's CZM grant is just now being finalized for Phase I of its preparation of a first-ever SMP. The City has recently annexed its only shoreline area (along the Sauk River) and hopes to change the area's current industrial environment designation to something more compatible with the recreational tourism direction the City is striving towards.

City of Issaquah: The City has met with and received extensive comments from the Ecology staff regarding its shoreline inventory. They are working towards integrating these comments into the inventory/analysis. Although they were not awarded SMP grant funds, the City says it still intends to continue ongoing work towards completion of a new SMP.

City of Maple Valley: The City is going through an internal approval process for a draft SMP that incorporates a series of changes requested by Ecology. The City's initial inventory work has been enhanced and a restoration strategy is being prepared to enhance publicly owned lands. Ecology will probably receive the locally approved draft SMP in February.

City of Monroe: Problems in working simultaneously underway on the City's Comp Plan and critical areas ordinance resulted in delays to work on an updated SMP under its CZM grant. As a result, the scope of work was adjusted to reflect completed work. The City has indicated that it will apply for a 3rd CZM grant to complete the SMP.

City of Mukilteo: The City has finally completed its shoreline inventory/analysis and is working to complete its draft SMP for submittal to Ecology. It is hoped that this will occur by this spring.

City of Redmond: The City has just completed preparation of its inventory/analysis for state review and the Planning Commission has submitted a proposed draft SMP to the City Council for its action. Council will hold a series of workshops/hearings to consider the SMP.

Snohomish County: To date, Ecology's work with Snohomish County has been to help them prepare an acceptable scope of work for a SMP grant-funded SMP update. Final grant authorization is imminent and the County is hiring staff, working to prepare a public participation plan and gathering data for its inventory.

City of Sultan: The City is proceeding with work on a complete SMP update and is expected to submit a draft pursuant to 60-day notice of intent to adopt requirements by June. Local and staff approval will follow.

City of Gig Harbor: This project is a comprehensive amendment of the SMP. The final shoreline inventory is currently under review. The City is also developing a strategic planning process for the preparation of the SMP.

City of Long Beach: The City has proposed a variety of administrative type amendments to the SMP. The amendments primarily edit development regulations for clarity. The amendments are currently under local agency/public review with the comment period ending in February.

City of Milton: This project is a comprehensive SMP, the first! The draft shoreline inventory was completed in June. The project has since been delayed while the City pursues additional funding to complete the actual SMP.

City of Port Townsend: The City is developing a comprehensive shoreline plan. Pursuant to SB 6012, Port Townsend has until December 1, 2005 to comply with the new schedule for updating the shoreline plan. The City recently completed first draft SMP general goals, policies and environment designations. The City was awarded state grant funds in December to support this SMP planning effort.

City of Sumner: This project is a comprehensive amendment of the SMP. The City submitted the SMP amendment for Ecology approval in May and the approval letter is currently under review by Ecology management. The American Planning Association, Washington Chapter, recently selected Sumner's SMP as a Merit Award winner for 2003. The APA award letter included a description of the Sumner SMP as "an outstanding accomplishment in the Environmental Plans category." City officials were presented with the award in October at the annual APA conference in Spokane.

City of Tacoma 1.0: The City submitted formal SMP amendments for Ecology review and approval in November. The proposed amendments revise the City's shoreline development regulations concerning definitions, shoreline permit exemptions and permitted uses. A resource agency and public review has been initiated with a comment period extending until January 29th. A final decision is expected in March.

City of Tacoma 2.0: The City is also the recent recipient of a CZM grant to support the shoreline inventory element of their critical areas code update. They have initiated work on the inventory

City of Everett: Amendment approved to allow Transportation Facilities of Statewide Significance in the Aquatic Conservancy shoreline environment.

City of Everett: Amendment submitted to allow non-water-oriented commercial uses as part of a waterfront redevelopment plan in a limited area. Public access would be a required component.

Town of LaConner: Minor amendment to update substantial development monetary threshold.

San Juan County: The amendment contained several administrative changes.

San Juan County: Draft amendment plan to inventory barge landing sites and facilities countywide. Amendment would allow barge landings at specific sites and require permits for new or unpermitted development. Ecology has reviewed the process for the amendment to be submitted later this year.

City of Bainbridge Island

1.) SMP Comprehensive amendment - Completed tasks that were part of FY 2003 CZM grant. City developed scope of work, convened representative public Steering Committee, reviewed existing SMP and drafted goals and policies, held public hearings and hosted public workshops for Comprehensive SMP amendment - but became bogged down by local political turmoil and took a break while they reconfigured their Planning Dept. and discussed new strategies for completing their work. Now ready to go again with:

2.) Comprehensive SMP amendment under the new Washington State Department of Ecology SMP Guidelines-will receive grant from legislative funding and CZM grant. Ecology will work very closely with City to try to avoid past pitfalls and to integrate the large amount of data included in a shoreline inventory done with other funding.

3.) Eagle Harbor Anchoring and Moorage Plan - completed effort to organize use of a busy harbor area where a tradition of anchored out live-aboard residences thrived and grew. Water quality, congestion, and aesthetic complaints increased over the past 10 years and this plan attempts to address all of these. It will be included in the new comprehensive SMP amendment, so it may still see some changes under the new SMP Guidelines.

4.) Limited-purpose SMP amendment to prohibit new docks and piers in Blakely Harbor (Puget Sound inlet on Bainbridge Island). In Ecology review process now, with Bainbridge Island developing responses to the many (100 plus) responses to Ecology's request for comments. They were about 98% against the amendment, which most people consider to be in substantial non-compliance with Sections of the Shoreline Management Act and WAC 173-27 which appear to assure waterfront land owners that constructing a dock or pier for a single family home is possible or even exempt from shoreline permit requirements. These assertions are accurate, and the City's reliance on their desire to keep this inlet as pristine as possible may not be supported strongly enough by the "science" they are relying on.

City of Bremerton

1. Comprehensive SMP amendment - The City had a CZM grant for this amendment, but never got going on it due to too limited staff and funds for match. They relinquished all of their CZM funds in December.

2. Limited purpose SMP amendment for their Downtown Waterfront shoreline designation. This includes the entire downtown, which is being renovated and hopefully economically revitalized by a conference center, mixed use developments of retail/restaurant/residential, less parking and traffic from the Washington State Ferries which come into downtown Bremerton, greater height limits, smaller setbacks from other

buildings and shoreline, greater density limits, expanded marina, etc. This was also part of the City's Comprehensive Land Use (growth management) Plan.

City of Seattle: Limited purpose SMP amendment giving the Director of the City of Seattle Planning and Development Department the authority to approve uses on moored vessels in Seattle waters. This was to address some uses the City deemed inappropriate in Lake Union, but the proposed language was for uses on (all) vessels, and there were strong protests from agencies and citizens who cited legal protections for navigable vessels. Ecology approved it for "uses on moored vessels" and it has not been used yet even though there are some non-water dependent floating over water uses that are in violation of their existing SMP.

City of Renton: Beginning review for comprehensive SMP amendment because waterfront areas left "vacant" by Boeing's move left a large amount of Lake Washington shoreline and uplands free for re-development. This shoreline is under development pressure for mixed use, condos, etc. It is currently a commercial/industrial shoreline designation. Some public park use is preferred. The City also has Green River shoreline.

City of Burien: Beginning review of existing SMP for amendment under new guidelines. The three communities of Burien, Des Moines, and Normandy Park have a total of about 20 miles of shoreline and could plan together for maximum benefit, since their roads, drainage, and housing areas are interconnected.

City of Tukwila: Beginning review of existing SMP for amendment under new guidelines.

Kitsap County: Not planning on doing SMP update, even though they did not adopt new shoreline maps, designations or development regulations for their 1998 SMP comprehensive amendment and "owe" these to Ecology. They have been revising their GMA Comprehensive Plan and Critical Areas Ordinance, but have been using acceptable shoreline development regulation guidance called the Director's Recommendations for the past 5 years. With recent scientific evidence regarding the anoxic conditions in Hood Canal and unacceptable levels of toxic accumulation in the flesh of both pen-raised and native ocean-going salmon, it is important that the County revisit and complete their plan under the new SMP Guidelines. Development pressure continues on Hood Canal and all shorelines of Kitsap County (county with highest shoreline miles at 250+), so more informed planning is needed to protect the entire shoreline jurisdiction and aquatic resources.

King County: Beginning review of existing SMP for amendment under new guidelines. This will apply to unincorporated King County and small jurisdictions who have adopted the King County SMP for shoreline development and regulation.

Fellowship Project

Sophie De Beukelaer, our NOAA Coastal Management Fellow, began her fellowship in September. In order to establish a firm understanding of Washington's major coastal

issues, she took part in meetings with local governments, attended conferences in Washington such as the Estuarine Research Federation 2003 and the Salmon Summit 2003, and became familiar with the Shoreline Management Act. Meeting with local governments included a field visit to Westport with a permit reviewer from the Shorelands and Environmental Assistance program, meeting with local planners from county and city jurisdictions, and working with Central Washington University and University of Washington research groups on preparing guidance. She also participated in internal meetings with the Shoreline Management Act Group (SMAG).

In December 2003, Washington State adopted an updated rule to ensure "no net loss of shoreline ecological functions." Sophie researched and evaluated existing data sources, ecosystem modeling efforts, and analysis reports and how we could apply them to provide guidance to local governments updating their Shoreline Master Programs (SMP). She worked with existing data sources, such as soils GIS data and aerial photos, in order for those data to be useful in assessing local shoreline ecological functions. She began georeferencing coastal drift maps from the Coastal Zone Atlas of Washington and will soon begin classifying the images using a GIS program in order to make them useful to local governments for inventory and analysis. She began analyzing the main features, strengths, and weaknesses of existing ecosystem models, and reviewed how the applicable aspects of these models could be incorporated into a potential Northwest model that would be used by local governments as they update their SMPs. Finally, Sophie worked with the GOAT staff to extract useful information from existing guidance documents, such as the Riparian Area Management document which explains how to determine the Proper Functioning Condition from aerial photographs, Tri-County Urban Issues ESA Study, and the Oregon Watershed Manual, to develop our own guidance document. The three focuses of our SMP guidance are marine, lake and stream. The team is constantly revising and updating the guidance document while focusing on web applicability for the final product.

Task 1.3 Wetlands Management

Wetlands Technical Assistance

NWRO staff performed total of 7 Ordinary High Water Mark (OHWM) determinations for the following local jurisdictions:

- Bellevue (don't have name of project - was a single family home site at 2824 W. Lake Sammamish Parkway)
- Bremerton (Ken Keider site on Lake Kitsap; Bremerton Waterfront Condominium site; Bruce Pineo site)
- Skagit County (Youngsman site)
- Sammamish (Garrett property; Selitrennikoff property)

City of Redman/Villa Marina: Provided technical assistance for a shoreline enforcement case to the City of Redmond (unpermitted fill of lacustrine wetlands on Lake Sammamish)

King County: Performed a pre-application field visit for the Corps/King County North Winds Weir shoreline restoration project directly on the Duwamish River, within the tidally-influenced portion of the River. Ecology provided feedback on their conceptual proposal to remove fill and restore an intertidal mudflat, salt marsh, scrub-shrub wetland and upland buffer to this vacant previously-industrial site. During the same site visit, staff also viewed a similar restoration project across the River that has already been implemented.

City of Mukilteo/Mukilteo City Park: Ecology staff met with a representative of the City of Mukilteo for a site visit of the City shoreline park (previous Mukilteo State Park) on Puget Sound to provide feedback on the City's approved Master Plan (MP) for the park, which was under SEPA review. The City's proposal to reduce the amount of impervious surface is a positive one, although the Master Program did not include the element of estuarine wetland restoration, which is what was present prior to the State filling the area for the park in the 1950's. Ecology also submitted written SEPA comments for their MP proposal.

City of Lacey/Detray's Mobile Home Park: At the request of City planners, Ecology made a preliminary determination of the location of the Ordinary High Water Mark (OHWM) along the southern shoreline of South Chambers Lake. The preliminary determination was enough to persuade the developers of the proposed Mobile Home Park at this location to pull all stormwater facilities back to just the outer edge of the 200 ft. wetland buffer. Previously, they were planning to locate stormwater spreader trenches all the way to the wetland buffer/wetland boundary. This would have elicited much public criticism and it turns out this would have required shoreline permitting.

City of Puyallup/South Hill Village: Ecology staff called to check on the status of this Wal-Mart store expansion. The wetland fill was underway and they had overlooked the

requirement in the Administrative Order to provide Ecology 3 days of advanced notice prior to beginning the wetland fill. Activities were also underway to begin the mitigation including preparing to "poison" the mitigation site. The mitigation plan only allows the use of herbicides as a contingency measure to control non native plant species (if it is evident that the wetland is dominated by greater than 50% reed canary grass). Herbicides were not to be used as part of initial site preparation. We discussed this with the City and the agent called off the spraying.

City of Sumner/Fred Meyer Store and Davis Mitigation Site: It has been 1 year since 2+ acres of isolated wetlands were filled in Sumner for the new Fred Meyer store and the applicant has yet to construct the mitigation that was required for this impact. Fred Meyer was unable to complete their mitigation immediately because the City had yet to issue the grading permit. Ecology commented on a SEPA document which explained that the Fred Meyer mitigation will be done concurrently with wetland enhancement the City wants to have done in the northern portion of the same property, known as the Davis Property. Ecology expressed uneasiness with the merging of these two projects and concern that the mitigation still remained to be completed. In a phone conversation with the consultant Ecology informed them that if for some reason the mitigation is not constructed this year, additional mitigation will likely be needed to compensate for additional temporal losses.

City of Buckley/SEFNO/AJN (Pierce County): Worked with consultant on permit requirements.

Jefferson County/Morningtide (Marrowstone Island): Assisted internal Water Resources staff by providing final wetland monitoring provisions for water right processing.

City of Tacoma/Tacoma Narrows Bridge (Pierce County): Reviewed and approved final wetland mitigation plan in coordination with senior wetlands staff and WSDOT liaison.

Pierce County/McNeil Island Diesel Spill: Provided technical assistance to Ecology's Spills Program regarding a diesel spill into a wetland on McNeil Island.

City of Tacoma/Winterwood Park (Pierce County): Attended site visit with WDFW staff and commented on proposal through SEPA.

Mason County/Grapeview Loop: reviewed and commented through SEPA; attended site visit with consultant, county staff and senior wetlands staff to look at impact and mitigation sites and provide technical assistance.

City of Tacoma/Tacoma Public Schools #37 (Pierce County): Worked with consultant on permit requirements, reviewed project through SEPA, and attended site visit with consultant and county staff to look at impact and mitigation sites and offer comments.

City of Puyallup/Pioneer Village (Pierce County): Reviewed final wetland report and submitted comments to the City regarding verification of wetland boundary.

City of Olympia/Meridian Group Property: Reviewed proposal and worked with consultant on stormwater issues.

City of Olympia/Briggs (Thurston County): Reviewed previous project proposal and met with consultants (along with senior wetlands staff) to discuss status and potential permit requirements.

Mason County: Site Visit - provided assistance to Mason County Staff regarding 2 potential wetlands violations and 2. Also made a OHWM call.

City of Edgewood/Anderson Hill: Attended site visit with Ecology water quality staff (at the request of City of Edgewood Staff) to offer assistance in assessing impacts of a wetland violation.

Pierce County/Lake Tapps Parkway East: Reviewed Final Mitigation Plan and worked with the County on some changes.

City of Olympia/Woodland Hills, Reviewed mitigation plan, provided comments to consultant and discussed permit requirements.

City of Olympia/26th & Lilly (Thurston County): attended a site visit at the request of City Staff to confirm the wetland boundary determination

City of Tacoma/SR-16 (Pierce County): Visited proposed mitigation site and discussed project impacts with WSDOT Liaison, Corps and WSDOT staff.

Critical Areas Ordinances Reviewed for Wetland Issues

- **City of Sumner (Pierce County):** Reviewed Critical Areas Ordinance, coordinated with senior staff and provided comments. Met with City and DCTED staff to provide further technical assistance to ensure the ordinance is in compliance with BAS.
- **Frederickson Community (Pierce County):** Attended site visit in conjunction with WDFW staff. Reviewed and commented on Community Plan with regard to Open Space provision.
- **City of Buckley (Pierce County):** Reviewed and provided preliminary comments on Critical Areas Ordinance in coordination with senior staff.
- **City of Lacey (Thurston County):** Reviewed and Commented on Critical Areas Ordinance in coordination with senior staff.

Wetlands Training for Local Government

NWRO Staff did Ordinary High Water Mark (OHWM) one-day training on October 9, 2003, which included field and classroom instruction for wetland and shoreline professionals.

Wetlands Best Available Science Project (Volume One)

Ecology received extensive comments from 31 different reviewers of the draft wetland science synthesis document. These comments were reviewed and organized by subject and by chapter. Ecology staff began developing responses to the comments and will complete the responsiveness summary and make final revisions to the document in the coming months.

Wetland Function Assessment Project

We continue to provide technical assistance regarding implementing the methods that have been developed. For example, we field the questions of those applying the methods regularly. We were also involved with the efforts of other agencies that have developed a rapid, qualitative assessment of wetlands that are impacted by linear projects; these methods were based on the quantitative methods developed by the project. We continue to monitor needs for training, receiving an increase in requests over the last 6 months. Therefore, training will be planned for sometime in 2004.

Wetland Mitigation Improvement Project

Staff were provided training on the Aquatics 401/CZM tracking system (database) in December of 2003. The system went in to full production on January 1st, 2004. Ecology actions on projects after January 1st, 2004 will be entered in to the new system.

Wetland Restoration & Watershed Planning

Ecology has completed the following products to assist in the restoration of degraded wetlands through implementation and refinement of a watershed-based wetland restoration initiative for Puget Sound. This has included developing and refining new tools for applying our growing understanding of watershed-scale processes to wetland planning and permitting activities.

1. Drayton Harbor Focused Watershed Analysis (Final - August 2003, **Ecology Publication No. 03-06-025**). This study identifies specific areas for wetland restoration in the California and Drayton Creek watersheds. The analysis is part of a continuing collaboration with the Drayton Harbor Shellfish Growers to use the wetland restoration coverage and database in the Nooksack Basin to identify and prioritize wetland preservation and restoration sites that reduce fecal coliform and nutrient inputs into the harbor. Whatcom County is planning to use the Drayton analysis in their Comprehensive Plan and SMP plan updates (2004). The Drayton analysis will also serve as an example and guidance to local governments on how to apply landscape principles at the subbasin scale when developing land use designations, policies and regulations that effectively protect critical resources.
2. "Principles of Wetland Planning" – Glaciated Region in Puget Lowlands. This web-based tool was published in March of 2003 on DOE's SMP Inventory and Assessment Guidance webpage (i.e. "tool for wetland planning")

http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/sea/SMA/st_guide/SMP/inven_analysis/index.html

It is designed for the evaluation of restoration and mitigation proposals in the context of watershed processes and functions. It will provide assistance to local communities and state agencies in their permitting and planning activities, including SMP and comprehensive plan updates.

3. Best Available Science on Wetlands (Draft DOE Publication, August 2003). Drafted sections for this publication on “landscape scale processes” and how they affect wetland structure and function. This document will be used by local governments in permitting and planning decisions (i.e. Shoreline Management Plan and Critical Areas Ordinance updates).

Landscape Characterization

Based on the characterization work undertaken in the Snohomish basin in King and Snohomish Counties, Ecology is developing landscape characterization tools designed to assist local governments in their permitting and planning activities. These tools include:

1. Landscape Analysis (Sultan example, April 2003). Applied landscape analysis to the City of Sultan SMP Inventory, with the results of the process characterization incorporated in the City’s SMP Inventory. Initial guidance for applying this method is contained in a PowerPoint presentation of the Sultan “case study” that is published on DOE’s Shoreline Management website:
http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/sea/SMA/st_guide/SMP/download/CaseStudy2_Landscape.pdf
2. “Landscape Planning for Aquatic Systems”. Building off the Drayton Harbor focused landscape analysis; we have developed a general method for characterizing watershed processes at County-wide and sub-basin level. This landscape based “web-based” evaluation tool is intended for use by local governments updating SMP’s and comprehensive plans. A draft version was completed in December of 2003. This tool will be applied to the Whatcom, Spokane and Yakima County Shoreline Management Plan updates.
3. Statewide Landscape Process Analysis Method. Develop methods for a spatially referenced database evaluating the changes in ecological processes for coastal watersheds through a collaborative effort with the Pacific Northwest Laboratory. Methods were to be initially developed for the Chehalis Basin. This project is presently on hold since the Pacific Northwest Laboratory lead has been ill and unable to continue development of ecological process model.

Task 1.4 State Environmental Policy Act

See Table 7 Section B

Training and Guidance

Ecology staff provided technical assistance to local governments, other agencies, and the public on environmental review and SEPA implementation.

For information on SEPA documents acted on by the Agency for this reporting period see Section B Table 8.

Task 1.5 Federal Permitting
Clean Water Act Section 404/401 Review
See Table 5 in Section B

Federal Consistency Certifications
See Tables 4 & 6 in Section B

Task 1.6 Padilla Bay Reserve

Estuarine Education and Information

Staff members provided estuarine and watershed education to 138 groups with a total audience of 3,796 citizens. These include schools (K-12), home schools, and other youth groups, English as a Second Language (ESL) groups, university and college classes, teachers and adults. We produced a one-hour live broadcast on the Internet about urban estuaries (www.estuaries.gov). An interpretive guide was published to accompany the Wetlands poster produced by Ecology.

Chapter 2 -- Section 309

Task 2.1 Implementation of Legislative Changes to the Shoreline Management Act

After over eight years of sustained effort and the completion of three different rounds of rule-making and public process, Ecology adopted new SMP guidelines as WAC 173-26 Part III, on December 17, 2003. The new guidelines were not appealed and became effective January 17, 2004. This effort required:

- Completion of the public process for adopting the new (guidelines) rule. Four public hearings/open houses were held across the state. Workshops were also held for local government planners who must now implement the new guidelines.
- Preparation of a supplemental environmental impact statement
- Preparation of a small business economic impact statement
- Preparation of an cost/benefit and least cost alternatives analysis
- Preparation of a responsiveness summary addressing the over 300 comments received on the draft rule
- Creation of a website (homepage) addressing the process and content of the guidelines.
- Extensive outreach to state legislators, other state agencies (CTED, Fish and Wildlife, DNR), local governments, ports, tribes, and interested citizens.

Related efforts including soliciting and reviewing grants applications for disbursement of \$2 million that the state legislature appropriated this biennium for local update of SMPs consistent with the new guidelines (two-year grants were awarded to 12 different local governments); settlement of the lawsuit surrounding the guidelines rule, development of training materials for Ecology staff and local governments. All efforts related to the guidelines were conducted without consultant assistance.

Task 2.2 Update Wetlands Rating Systems

Field testing and calibration of the rating system for western Washington were completed in September. Subsequently a draft for internal review was completed. Members of the review team for revising the western Washington version were sent copies of the draft to solicit their comments. These comments have been compiled and a draft for public review is being prepared

Chapter 3 -- Section 6217

Task 3.1 Managing Nonpoint Pollution in the Coastal Zone

Water Quality Program Coastal Non-Point Work Plan

WQ-1 Provide watershed protection support related to salmon recovery in the coastal zone

Ecology staff accomplished the following for the designated reporting period: lead role for the Upper White River TMDL Project for Chinook/Bull Trout Habitat, implementation of temperature and redd scour studies in the Upper White Watershed; implementation of temperature studies in support of bull trout recovery work in the Gray Wolf watershed; participation on Nisqually, Puyallup/Chambers Clover and Lower Columbia Salmon Habitat Recovery Committees; participation in the landscape committee for development of South Sound marine and nearshore recovery planning; in-house support for the SWRO Water Quality Section regarding fish and ESA questions; and document review related to ESA and other aquatic issues for Ecology's SEPA review process. During the review period, the Upper White TMDL was approved by EPA. The scour report has been completed, distributed and presented at a TMDL conference.

WQ-2 Provide storm water runoff treatment and management tools for private, local, state, and federal resource managers

Objectives

Final Reports for Tasks 1 and 2:

Task #1: Revising the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) Guidance Manual

Staff work on the SWPPP guidance manual has been completed and includes the following major changes in the format and content including the addition of new BMPs, monitoring guidance, and 2002 Industrial Stormwater General Permit (ISGP) requirements. Approval by Ecology management is still needed before it is posted on the web. A hard-copy could be provided, if requested.

Task #2: Revising the Log Yard Best Management Practices Guidance Manual

As with the SWPPP Guidance Manual the Log Yard Manual has been completed and includes the following major changes: addition of new BMPs, monitoring guidance, and 2002 Industrial Stormwater General Permit (ISGP) requirements. Approval by Ecology management is still needed before it is posted on the web. A hard-copy could be provided, if requested.

Summary of Progress: Tasks 3 and 4, as of December 31, 2003:

Task #3: Updating the Ecology Web Site on New Stormwater Treatment Technologies

The internet web page on evaluation of new stormwater treatment technologies has been upgraded as follows:

1. A test protocol (CTAPE) for evaluating new chemical treatment technologies for construction sites has been added.

2. Designation documents have been added for the Vortechs, Kristar's Flo-Gard, AquaFilter/AquaSwirl, and WSDOT's Ecology Embankment technologies.
3. Updates were added on the Storm Filter and the Chitosan-Enhanced Sand Filtration technologies. The web page is located at <http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/stormwater/newtech/>

Task # 4: Revising the Best Management Practices Manual for Vehicle Recyclers

Ecology has begun the process to update its stormwater BMP guidance manual for vehicle recyclers. Selection of Technical Advisory Committee members has begun. As with the log yard guidance manual the goal will be to add new BMPs and incorporate the requirements of the reissued 2002 Industrial Stormwater General Permit, including monitoring.

Shoreline and Environmental Assistance Program Coastal Non-Point Work Plan

SEA-1 Watershed Protection

WRIA 10, Puyallup

WRIA 12, Chambers/Clover Creek

WRIA 19, Lyre/Hoko

WIRA 20, Sol Duc/Hoh

Ecology is represented on the Puyallup River Watershed Council at regular meetings, Executive Committee meetings, Water Issues Committee meetings, and special meetings

Ecology staff represented Ecology and other State agencies not at the planning "table" on the Chambers/Clover Creek, Lyre/Hoko, and Sol Duc/Hoh watershed planning units under the provisions of the Watershed Planning Act.

Staff provided cross-program coordination and interagency activities in all the above watersheds, with particular emphasis with the Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife.

Staff assisted in developing a local/state data-information management partnership, with particular emphasis with the University of Washington in WRIA 20

Staff provided ongoing technical and policy guidance and assistance to the above watersheds.

WIRA-Chehalis Basin

In the Chehalis Basin during the period from July to December our major achievement was development of a draft watershed management plan. We also:

- Coordinated review of the draft Chehalis watershed management plan by 12 state agencies and three programs within Ecology
- Discussed and debated the draft plan

- Prepared a revised draft plan that is currently under review
- Completed technical work on development of the framework for a basin-wide water quality monitoring plan
- Monitored dry season stream flows for 12 streams for a second year
- Installed continuous flow monitoring data loggers in two streams where high flow data is needed to prepare fish habitat flow recommendations
- Received fish habitat flow recommendations for 4 streams
- Held six planning unit meetings (once per month) and 15 technical committee meetings

WRIA 11-Nisqually Watershed Activities

- Coordinated cross-program and state agency involvement in preparing the Nisqually 2514 watershed plan.
- The Nisqually watershed Planning Unit (PU) approved its plan at the end of October with state agency concurrence. This was the first multi-county plan approved in western Washington. The plan is now scheduled for final county review and approval in the April/May, 2004 timeframe.
- The PU received funding approval to conduct instream flow studies on the Mashel River, the largest tributary to the Nisqually River.
- Attended monthly meeting of the Nisqually River Council, as the representative from the Department of Ecology.
- Assisted in developing a local/state data-information management partnership, with the Nisqually Indian Tribe taking the lead. The goal is to establish a Nisqually watershed data and information clearinghouse that the Nisqually Tribe will manage.
- Provided technical and policy guidance and assistance to the watershed PU and to the Nisqually River Council.

WIRA 13-Deschutes Watershed Activities

- Coordinated state agency involvement in preparing the Deschutes 2514 watershed plan.
- The Deschutes PU continues to move forward toward a fall 2004 completion of its watershed plan. A potential complication is possible lack of support from the participating Squaxin Island Indian Tribe.
- Attended monthly meetings of the Deschutes PU and its Technical Committee.
- Assisted in coordinating TMDL activities being undertaken by Ecology's Water Quality Program.

SEA-2 Best Available Science for Wetland and Watershed Protection (Volume Two)

Ecology convened a local government advisory team comprised of city and county staff from a variety of jurisdictions. This group met twice to help develop the scope of the wetland management recommendations document and to review and comment on draft sections of the document. Ecology staff also met with representatives of business and

environmental organizations to discuss the scope of the management recommendations and solicit ideas for how these groups can have input into the process.

SEA-3 Wetland Stewardship Tasks

1) Local governments were provided with a tour of the lower Snohomish River basin to view and understand recent wetland restoration projects being conducted for watershed recovery. An overview of the watershed history was presented and three sites were visited: Spencer Island, Diking District #6, and the Qwuloolt Project. Also, a lower Snohomish wetland restoration self-tour guide was written and distributed as well. This tour will be re-done in July, 2004 at the National Society of Wetland Scientists Conference in July.

2) Exploring Wetlands Stewardship: An Reference Guide for Assisting WA Landowners and Communities (Pub. #96-120) was updated. Approximately 20 new acquisition and restoration funding programs were added to the new volume. The guide will be re-printed in early 2004 with funding from the Pacific Coast Joint Venture who consider it to be an "essential stewardship guide" for practitioners in WA.

SEA-4 North Puget Sound and Skagit River Watershed Nonpoint Pollution Project

This task encompasses multiple field and program-oriented non-point reduction activities within North Puget Sound and the Skagit River Watershed:

On-going Nonpoint Efforts: Padilla Demonstration Farm/No Name Slough

Coordinated with and assist the regional watershed council, SEA and Water Quality staff from the Department of Ecology, and the salmon/habitat restoration program to implement regional restoration strategies and reduce non-point pollution in near shore habitats. With PBNERR staff, began outlining watershed characterization section of NNS grant. Arranged meeting with Diking District #12 and Nelson Construction. Received one set of FC data from No Name Slough. Gave presentation on Padilla Bay water quality at Bay View Water Quality workshop. One of four presenters at the Skagit Educational Alliances Water Quality in the Skagit workshop. Met with Skagit Health Department staff. Completed publication on No Name Slough and answered questions from local landowners. With SCD engineer surveyed No Name Slough wetland, pond, groundwater sites, salinity levels in lower No Name slough. Contacted PACCAR and eventually received specs and approval to inspect detention pond for No Name hydrology model. The pond was inspected. Compiled PB staff quarterly grant reports for Skagit Conservation District. Attended watershed characterization work planning meeting. Contacted Unidata about Starlogger problem at Marihugh Dr. Hosted event at PBNERR for National Water Quality Monitoring Day 9/18.

Contacted and recruited local residents who responded to brochure with an interest in Skagit Stream Team. Coordinated NNS preliminary benthic macro-invertebrate sampling with Skagit River Steward volunteers. Began writing sections for watershed characterization report. Attended Northwest Salmonid Recovery Conference & sessions

on public involvement/nearshore habitat. Continued dialogue with the County on Bay View stormwater modeling project. Held evening, public meeting at PBNERR with a speaker from the State Dept. of Health to address the connection of water quality to human epidemics and the shellfish closure in Samish Bay. Continued to gather flow and groundwater data on NNS. With SCD staff, re-evaluated No Name flow test sites and methods. With SCD staff, developed public involvement strategies/materials for No Name Slough. Made contact with local landowners to inform them of the project. Attended meetings between PBNERR and SCD staff.

Citizen Water Quality Monitoring Program

Ecology continued sponsorship of citizen water quality monitoring with trained volunteers and by PBNERR staff. All Stream Team raw data files were received and rechecked with data sheets. Work was completed on the 2003 Stream Team data summary, a 48-page summary of the 2002-2003 volunteer data. The 2003 Stream Team data summary was sent out to all related parties and released at the training. Results from the 2003 Stream Team data summary was presented at a county-wide meeting on water quality organized by the Skagit Educational Alliance. Comments were provided on Stream Team FC data for BEACH program.

Padilla Bay and the Skagit Conservation District co-sponsored a two-night, one-day training for 2003-2004 citizen volunteers. New volunteers were trained in WQ testing at watershed sites in Skagit County's three priority watersheds working within DOE-approved QA/QC guidelines. New volunteers performed their first water quality monitoring in this period. Padilla Bay staff continued to train new volunteers, coordinate and monitor teams for their initial sampling. Stream teams and directory were both reorganized due to various scheduling problems. Three depth gages were lost in October floods, one was replaced.

Padilla Bay staff inventoried, ordered and received new lab equipment and supplies. Ecology trained new SCD, and PBNERR employees in WQ testing and watershed sites. Continued weeding and gage cleaning at all ST sites. All WQ testing equipment was repaired and calibrated. A new acid-free FC broth was demoed and in hopes of eliminating colony counting problems.

Local Coordination

Attended Skagit Watershed Council meetings, events, and awards dinner. Held an evening, public meeting at PBNERR with a speaker from the State Dept. of Health to address the connection of water quality to human epidemics and the shellfish closure in Samish Bay. Contacted four local residents who responded to brochure with an interest in Skagit Stream Team. All four were trained and are participating on teams. Attended Northwest Salmonid Recovery Conference & sessions on public involvement/nearshore habitat. Met with NWRO staff to discuss TMDL's of Joe Leary and No Name Sloughs and attended annual SCD Partnership Breakfast.

Bay View Shellfish Closure

Assisted the Skagit Conservation District and State Department of Health with education and monitoring needs related to recent shellfish harvest closures in Bay View. A water quality workshop was hosted in the community of Bay View where water quality data was presented by a variety of agencies including Padilla Bay/Ecology. PB staff gave presentation on Padilla Bay water quality at Bay View Water Quality workshop.

Ecology had meeting with Skagit Health Department staff. Coordinated FC monitoring with Washington State and Skagit County Department of Health. Presented ST data at Bay View WQ work group meeting. Staff also attended the DOE Lower Skagit Water Temperature public meeting representing the Skagit Stream Team.

Met with SCD staff to strategize and plan community participation. Completed the No Name Slough brochure, and delivered to landowners and residents. Received public response to No Name Slough brochure, and answered questions from local landowners. Continued to compile landowner/stewardship informational materials

State Of Washington
Department Of Ecology
Shorelands and Environmental Assistance Program

Coastal Zone Management
Section 306, 306A, 309 and 6217 Grant

Federal Fiscal Year 2003
Grant Award No: NA03NOS4190105

Section "B"

Semiannual Report
For the period July 1, 2003 through December 31, 2003

1st Semi-Annual Report

January 2004

Table 1. Shoreline Permits — July 1, 2003- December 31, 2003

County	Region	SDPs ¹	CUPs ²	VARs	CUPs Denied ³	VARs Denied	Total Projects ⁴
Island	NW	11	01	01	0	0	13
King	NW	56	01	04	1	0	61
Kitsap	NW	03	01	01	0	0	03
San Juan	NW	10	03	02	0	0	12
Skagit	NW	14	03	11	0	1	19
Snohomish	NW	09	0	0	0	0	09
Whatcom	NW	16	05	04	0	0	21
Clallam	SW	02	01	0	02	0	0
Grays Harbor	SW	08	04	0	0	0	09
Jefferson	SW	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mason	SW	10	03	02	0	0	12
Pacific	SW	08	0	0	0	0	08
Pierce	SW	14	03	02	0	1	16
Thurston	SW	03	0	01	0	0	04
Wahkiakum	SW	01	01	01	0	0	01
TOTAL		165	26	29	1	2	190

¹ Substantial Development Permits² Conditional Use Permits³ Variances⁴ Total permitted projects (NOTE: Some projects have multiple shoreline permits)

Table 2. Shorelines Hearings Board Cases

Ecology as Respondent	12
Ecology as Appellant	0
Ecology not a Party	07
Total Appeals	19

Table 3. Shoreline Enforcement Actions

Formal Enforcement Actions	Notice of Correction	Orders to Restore or Abate	Penalties Issued	Totals For Reporting Period
Joint State and Local Government	2			2
State (Ecology)	0	0	0	0

Table 4. Direct Federal Agency Activities - Section 307 (C) (1) And (2)

Federal Agency	Description of Activity or Project	Concurrence	Negative Determination issued by Federal Agency	State/Local Review Time	Court Cases	Proximity To Coastal Zone
USCG	Modernization of Rescue System	Y		13 days		Within
US Navy	Remedial Action/Bremerton	Y		22 days		"
US Navy	Remedial Action/Indian Island	Y		23 days		"
USCG	Rule Change	Y		14 days		"
National Park Service	Road Repair	Y		25 days		"
National Park Service	New Admin Bldg	Y		25 days		"
NOAA Marine Fisheries	Implement rule	Y		12 days		"
NOAA Marine Fisheries	Amendment to Fisheries Plan	Y		24 days		"
USCG	Infrastructure Improv project	Y		05 days		"
USFW	Conservation Plan	Y		05 days		"
NOAA Marine Fisheries	Rule Change	Y		21 days		"
BPA	Rebuild/move Transmission line	Y		13 days		"
USCG	Rescue Communication system update	Y		12 days		

Table 5. Federal Licenses and Permits - Section 307(C)

Federal Permitting Agency	Type Of Permit	Number of Concurrences	Non - Compliance W/ST Program	Pending State Approval	Pending State/Local Review	Review Time	Court Cases	Permits Received	Average Process Time
ACOE	404/NWP	90	1	30	15	180 days			90 days

Table 6. Federal Assistance to State and Local Governments - Section 307(D)

Federal Agency	Type of Assistance	Number of Applications	Number of Concurrences	Insufficient Information	Inconsistent with State	Concurrence After Modifications	Total Pending	Total Received	Average Processing Time
Rural Development Housing and Urban Development	Community Assistance Community Assistance	1 5	1 5				0 0	1 5	09 days 05 days

30

Table 7 SEPA Documents Received and Reviewed

3921	TOTAL Documents Received Statewide
	Documents Received Per CZM County
71	Clallam
116	Grays Harbor
110	Island
41	Jefferson
759	King
137	Kitsap
61	Mason
23	Pacific
426	Pierce
23	San Juan
140	Skagit
427	Snohomish
205	Thurston
07	Wahkiakum
207	Whatcom
2753	TOTAL Coastal Counties

LOCAL GOVERNMENTS GRANTS

ATTACHMENT 1

**Coastal Zone Management
Washington State Department of Ecology**

**Local Government Grants – Semi-Annual Progress Report
Reporting Period (7/1/2003 – 12/31/2003)**

Recipient	Type	Project Officer	Comments
Coupeville, City of SMP: Phase 1	CZM306 \$12,800 G0400107	Alice Schisel Start 7/1/2003 End 11/30/04	The Town has hired consultants and has submitted a draft public participation plan and a list of data sources for their shoreline inventory (per Deliverable 1 of Task 3).
CREST Columbia River Estuary Communities Inventory, Assessment and Technical Assistance	CZM306 \$26,000 G0400054	Cinde Donoghue Start 7/1/2003 End 6/30/04	CREST has purchased DNR photography for the entire County and is continuing the habitat change analysis mapping for the Estuary and the shoreline of Wahkiakum County.
Darrington, Town of SMP Inventory, SMP Development, Sauk R. Shoreline Land Use Plan	CZM306 \$20,000 G0400095	Alice Schisel Start 7/1/2003 End 11/30/04	The Town has just signed its grant agreement and has hired consultants (Berryman & Henigar; Adolfson & Assoc.) to prepare the inventory. It is not yet clear how this late start will affect completion of the grant's scope of work but they expect to be able to catch up.
Everett, City of Boeing Dock Sub Area Planning	CZM306 \$22,000 Pending	Bob Fritzen	The property owners working with the City failed to financially support the proposal as was originally agreed. The proposal has been dropped, but the City is in the process of writing a new proposal similar in nature in a different area of the shorelines.
Fife, City of SMP Update, Ph 1: Best Available Science	CZM306 \$40,000 G0400118	Randy Davis Start 7/1/2003 End 6/30/04	The City is waiting for signed agreement.

Coastal Zone Management
Washington State Department of Ecology
Local Government Grants – Semi-Annual Progress Report
Reporting Period (7/1/2003 – 12/31/2003)

Recipient	Type	Project Officer	Comments
Island Co. / WSU Beach Watchers 2003-04 Beach Watchers Program	CZM306 \$40,000 G0400078	Alice Schisel Start 7/1/2003 End 6/30/04	During the reporting period, Beach Watchers reported an amazing 7,274 volunteer hours! Of this amount, 1,430 hours were spent presenting environmental education programs to school, community groups and ferry travelers. BW have continued their inventory of 33 Island County beaches and entry of beach profile data into their new Access database is near completion. Enhancements have also been made to Beach Watchers' web site, www.beachwatchers.wsu.edu . Twenty-one new volunteers received 100 hours of training under the grant. Work on geospatial mapping of shoreline hardening elements of Whidbey Island shores is 50% (a similar study on Camano Island is 100% complete. BW also supplied the Town of Coupeville with eelgrass data for Penn Cove which the Town will use in preparing its SMP inventory.
Jefferson County Shoreline Inventory & Environment Designation Update	CZM306 \$32,000 G0400078	Cinde Donoghue Start 7/1/2003 End 11/30/04	County has completed inventory steps and has begun ecosystem wide and reach scale analysis of marine shorelines.
Long Beach, City of Shoreline Management Assessment and Planning	CZM306 \$20,000	Cinde Donoghue Start End	Local signature still needed on agreement
Milton, City of SMP Update	CZM306 \$11,200	Randy Davis Start End	The City is waiting for signed agreement.
Pacific County Coordinating Ed. For Control of Invasive Spartina	CZM306 \$33,000 G0400033	Perry Lund Start 7/1/2003 End 6/30/04	County is waiting for signed agreement

**Coastal Zone Management
Washington State Department of Ecology**

**Local Government Grants – Semi-Annual Progress Report
Reporting Period (7/1/2003 – 12/31/2003)**

Recipient	Type	Project Officer	Comments
Pacific County Long Beach Peninsula Dune Restoration Program - Planning	CZM306 \$20,000 G0400059	Kim VanZwahlenburg 1 Start 9/1/2003 End 8/31/04	This grant is on generally on schedule with the MOA (Task 2), management strategy (Task 3), and Inventory (Task 4) completed and deliverables received. We are still waiting for the sign strategy and barricade strategy which should come in shortly
Port Townsend, City of Port Hudson Sub Area Plan	CZM306 \$20,000	Randy Davis Start End	The City is negotiating a revised scope of work with stakeholders given the reduction in funding requested for this subarea plan.
Puyallup, City of Major Update and Integration of Shoreline Management Master Program	CZM306 \$15,000	Randy Davis Start End	The City has experienced delays in their schedule for this project given prior work on critical areas.
Sultan, City of SMP Inventory, SMP Development, SMP element of Comp Plan	CZM306 \$23,000 G0400051	Alice Schisel Start 7/1/2003 End 6/30/04	The City's planning commission has to date reviewed drafts of the SMP introduction, inventory summary, & chapters on shorelines of state-wide significance and goals. They are presenting reviewing draft policies & regulations. Although work on the City's new comprehensive plan has somewhat diverted the planning commission's attention during the reporting period, SMP work will again take center stage this spring. It is expected that the grant scope of work will be completed by 6/30.
Tacoma, City of SMP Update: Ph 1 Inventory	CZM306 \$40,000 G0400077	Randy Davis Start End	The City has initiated the shoreline inventory and appears on schedule.
Whatcom County Shoreline Land Use / Resource Inventory and Policy Integration	CZM306 \$22,000 G0400058	Barry Wenger Start 7/1/03 End 6/30/04	County is waiting for signed agreement